



# Research Scholarship

## Pre-Assessment & Matrix

2020

Principle Investigator	Discipline
Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter text.

## Pre-Assessment

All items below must receive a check mark to progress to a full assessment

(Submission Checklist) = application form

<input type="checkbox"/>	Principle investigator an ASMIRT member for at least 12 months
<input type="checkbox"/>	Application completed
<input type="checkbox"/>	Supporting documentation included
<input type="checkbox"/>	Electronic copy sent by 5PM (AEST) on due date
<input type="checkbox"/>	Signatures all present
<input type="checkbox"/>	Money not being used for excluded uses. Capital equipment (including university overheads), conference and travel expenses.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Within word limit
<input type="checkbox"/>	Dissemination plan (peer review journal/conference)

### Registered Office:

Suite 1040-1044 (Level 10)  
1 Queens Road  
Melbourne Vic 3004  
Australia

### All Correspondence to:

P.O. Box 16234  
Collins Street West Vic 8007  
Australia

### Contact us:

T +61 3 9419 3336  
F +61 3 9416 0783  
W [www.asmirt.org](http://www.asmirt.org)

<b>Assessment Criteria</b>					
<b>Quality of Research</b>	<b>0-6</b>	<b>7-12</b>	<b>13-16</b>	<b>17-20</b>	<b>SCORE</b>
Originality	Lacks originality	Limited originality/ Replicating previous research for the purpose of validation.	Extending previous work to fulfil a gap in current research or literature	Innovative or distinctive design to extend current knowledge base	
Literature Review	Limited range of literature used and limited critical appraisal. Literature not relevant to the topic	Some literature and critical appraisal	A reasonable range of literature used with some critical appraisal	A wide range of critically appraised relevant literature	
Clarity detail of research plan	Limited	Fair	Good	Excellent	
Feasibility	Not clearly specified	Some indication of feasibility	Sound level of feasibility demonstrated	Demonstrates excellent feasibility	
	<b>1-3</b>	<b>4-6</b>	<b>7-8</b>	<b>9-10</b>	<b>SCORE</b>
Inter professional collaboration	None	Limited	Some (1-2 groups)	Full (>2 groups)	
Range of experience in the research team	None	Limited	Some (1-2 projects)	Full (> 2 projects)	
Timeline	None	Incomplete	Timeline elements may need further work	Robust	
Referencing	Significant errors present in both in-text and reference list. Old and/or less reliable references used.	Consistent errors in referencing style in either in-text or reference list. Older and/or less reliable references used	Minor errors in referencing style in either in-text or reference list. Some older and/or less reliable references used.	Referencing style excellent. Contemporary, robust references used.	
Presentation & use of language	Syntax, spelling or grammatical errors, incorrect formatting submission difficult to understand.	Some syntax, spelling or grammatical problems. Incorrect formatting.	Language used was mostly appropriate. Minor errors present. Correct formatting.	Language used was appropriate. No errors present. Correct formatting	
Budget Justification	None	Limited	Budget justified	Budget well justified and linked to project aims	
<b>TOTAL SCORE (Max. 140)</b>					

New researcher as principal investigator  No  Yes